

X-ray diffraction studies of charge density waves in cuprate superconductors: A brief review

Blackburn, E.

DOI:

[10.1016/j.physb.2014.11.055](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physb.2014.11.055)

License:

None: All rights reserved

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Blackburn, E 2015, 'X-ray diffraction studies of charge density waves in cuprate superconductors: A brief review', *Physica B - Physics of Condensed Matter*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physb.2014.11.055>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Physica B. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Physica B.

DOI: 10.1016/j.physb.2014.11.055

Eligibility for repository checked February 2015

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.


Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

X-Ray diffraction studies of charge density waves
in cuprate superconductors: A Brief Review

E. Blackburn



PII: S0921-4526(14)00889-8
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physb.2014.11.055>
Reference: PHYSB308772

To appear in: *Physica B: Physics of Condensed Matter*

Cite this article as: E. Blackburn, X-Ray diffraction studies of charge density waves in cuprate superconductors: A Brief Review, *Physica B: Physics of Condensed Matter*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physb.2014.11.055>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



X-Ray Diffraction Studies of Charge Density Waves in Cuprate Superconductors: A Brief Review

E. Blackburn

School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, United Kingdom

Abstract

High temperature superconductivity in the cuprates has fascinated scientists for more than 25 years, but there is still no consensus on the pairing mechanism. Soon after the discovery of high temperature superconductivity, it was suggested that the cuprates have an incipient tendency towards spatial electronic order - spin and charge order. In this article, I will review X-ray diffraction studies of charge density waves in the cuprates. These results, by a number of different groups, indicate that short-range charge correlations exist across the cuprate family, and in many cases are clearly competing with the superconductivity.

Keywords: High-temperature superconductors, Copper oxides, Charge density waves

1. Introduction

The cuprate superconductors were discovered almost thirty years ago by Bednorz and Müller [1], and have remained a perennial subject of interest for condensed matter physicists ever since. It is known that the electrons form Cooper pairs [2], but, unlike the BCS superconductors, the superconducting gap displays *d*-wave symmetry, and the origin of the pairing mechanism remains a subject of debate.

The common feature of the cuprate superconductors, as the name indicates, is that they contain copper and oxygen. More specifically, all of the cuprates contain a CuO_2 plane. In this ‘plane’ layer, each copper is surrounded by four oxygens in the plane, with two apical oxygen atoms above and below it. These CuO_2 planes are thought to be the primary source of superconductivity, and the eventual origin of the *d*-wave nature of the superconductivity. To gain insight into the instabilities of these planes, numerous studies have been carried out on materials with similar arrangements; see Ref. [3] for a review of some of this work.

Simply having a CuO_2 plane is not *necessarily* sufficient for superconductivity to occur. Often, the number density of charge carriers per Cu has to be tuned to give rise to superconductivity. This is most commonly done by chemical doping, but can also be achieved by, e.g. applying pressure. Here, I concentrate on doping, and in particular on hole doping. Electron-doped cuprate superconductors are well known, but are not the focus of this review.

Charge carrier doping may be done by substitutional means, as in $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ (LSCO), or by altering the oxygen content, as in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6+x}$ (YBCO). By altering the number of charge carriers, one can then map out a phase diagram that is common across all of the hole-doped cuprate superconductors. With a low number density of charge carriers (extremely underdoped), a Mott insulating state forms, eventually giving way to a superconducting dome. The appearance of domes like these is often considered to be related to an underlying quantum critical point [4]. Above this dome is a normal state that displays a rich array of phenomena. When overdoped, Fermi liquid behaviour is seen. On reducing the number density of charge carriers this shifts to non-Fermi-liquid behaviour, and then in the underdoped

Email address: e.blackburn@bham.ac.uk (E. Blackburn)

region, it is dominated by the ‘pseudogap’ state [5]. It has been evident for some time that the normal state of the cuprates is susceptible to electronic and magnetic instabilities, as indicated by the extensive literature on charge and magnetic stripes, although such instabilities had not been observed in all members of the family. For a brief review, see Ref. [6].

Driven by improvements in sample quality in this region [7] by multiple groups, a number of experimental studies, using many different techniques, have investigated the pseudogap region in more detail. It has become clear from the ensemble of results that *inside* the pseudogap region, incommensurate charge correlations develop, and that this is a common feature of the cuprate superconductors. This article will focus on the X-ray diffraction measurements in this region, up to August 2014.

2. Charge density waves and superconductivity

Before discussing the recent results, a short consideration of charge density waves (CDWs) is appropriate. The usual starting point for this is the 1-D Peierls instability, where the energy of a 1D electron gas is lowered by the introduction of a gap at the Fermi surface, by introducing a real space modulation with the appropriate wavevector. Ref. [8] provides a good review of this topic.

In this picture, the lattice is coupled to the electrons, through electron-phonon coupling, and so distorts. In practice, it is not possible to decouple the lattice and the electrons, and the electronic distortion [9].

This means that the electron-phonon coupling plays a very important role in the development of a charge density wave state, and two regimes exist: strong and weak coupling. An accessible approach to this may be found in Ref. [10]. The weak coupling case strongly resembles the original Peierls argument, with electronic energy gain occurring primarily at the Fermi surface. In the strong coupling case, the electronic energy gain is spread across the Brillouin zone, due to strong distortions of the electron dispersion.

In a superconductor, a gap forms when the electrons at the Fermi surface pair up in momentum space, rather than real space. For BCS superconductors, this pairing is driven by the electron-phonon coupling, as the phonons provide the glue for the formation of the Cooper pairs. There are several materials where the Fermi surface is gapped by charge density wave formation, and then subsequently develops a superconducting gap - the most well known is NbSe₂. Here, the typical

observation is of competition between the superconductivity and the charge density wave state. For the cuprate superconductors, the nature of the pairing mechanism is not so clear, but one can still map out the electron-phonon coupling.

3. Diffraction studies

Charge density modulations were initially observed in YBCO by diffraction using two primary techniques:

- resonant soft X-ray scattering at the Cu *L*-edge [11, 12, 13, 14] This technique is particularly sensitive to the displacements associated with the Cu ions, but can only examine a limited portion of reciprocal space, due to the wavelength of the X-rays at the Cu *L*-edge ($\sim 15 \text{ \AA}$). Achkar *et al.* [12] use this sensitivity to localize the charge density modulation on the planar Cu ions only.
- hard X-ray diffraction, at X-ray energies equal to or greater than 80 keV [15, 16, 17]. With this technique, a much larger region of reciprocal space is experimentally accessible, but the observed scattering is dominated by the more massive elements in the material.

Similar evidence for a charge density wave state has been observed in Bi₂Sr_{2-x}La_xCuO_{6+x} (Bi2201) [18], Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O_{8+x} (Bi2212) [19], Bi_{1.5}Pb_{0.6}Sr_{1.54}CaCu₂O_{8+δ} [20], LSCO [21], HgBa₂CuO_{4+d} (Hg1201) [22], using Cu *L*-edge resonant X-ray scattering, and in LSCO using high energy X-rays [23, 24, 25]. Tabis *et al.* report no sign of the charge density wave in Hg1201 using hard X-ray diffraction [22]. Charge density waves have also been seen in related weakly- or non-superconducting cuprates such as La_{2-x}Ba_xCuO₄ (LBCO) [26, 27] and La_{1.8-x}Eu_{0.2}Sr_xCuO₄ (Eu-LSCO) [11, 28].

The charge density wave state discussed here has been observed in multiple STM measurements; a direct comparison is made in Ref. [29], and further references can be found therein.

Inelastic X-ray and neutron scattering studies have probed the electron-phonon coupling at the wavevectors associated with the charge density wave. The work by Le Tacon *et al.* [30] provides a good introduction to this work.

In the following sections, I will concentrate primarily on the diffraction studies, with YBCO as the primary subject, as it has been the most densely studied material.

3.1. Short range ordered charge density wave state

Both soft and hard X-ray techniques observe the same broad features in all materials: two ordered electronic modulations with ordering wavevectors $\mathbf{q}_1 = (q_h, 0, 0.5)$ and $\mathbf{q}_2 = (0, q_k, 0.5)$, where $q_{h/k} \sim 0.3$ and is incommensurate, with no evidence for a lock-in transition. The Bragg peaks associated with these modulations are of the order of 1×10^{-6} of a typical strong structural Bragg peak in the relevant compound. High-resolution inelastic X-ray scattering indicates that the energy width of the Bragg peak is < 0.3 meV [31].

3.2. Temperature dependence

The Bragg peak associated with the modulation appears at a temperature below the onset of the pseudogap, but above T_C , and grows in intensity smoothly with a concave upwards shape to a maximum amplitude at T_C in zero field. This means that the actual onset temperature can be difficult to identify, and this presumably arises because of the fluctuating nature of the charge density wave observed. Below T_C , the Bragg peak intensity disappears as the superconductivity order parameter grows, although this effect is significantly less pronounced in Hg1201, Bi2201 and Bi2212. It is clear that the charge density wave state is competing with the superconductivity. When a magnetic field is applied, the maximum Bragg peak intensity is observed at the onset of the vortex liquid state [15, 23]. The onset temperature varies with doping, with a maximum close to 1/8th doping [14, 17, 24].

3.3. Correlation lengths

The Bragg peak width can be used to extract the correlation lengths. The in-plane correlation length increases as the Bragg peak intensity increases, and so is maximal at T_C in zero field. For YBCO, this is ~ 70 Å, whereas for LSCO, Hg1201 and Bi2201 it is ~ 20 –30 Å. As the superconductivity is destroyed by magnetic field, this correlation length decreases.

The out-of-plane correlation length is very short in all cases, indicating that the scattering is ‘rod-like’ rather than ‘peak-like’. Where directly measured (YBCO [15], LSCO [23, 24]), this correlation length is of the order 5–10 Å.

3.4. The CDW wavevector and the Fermi surface

The in-plane component of the CDW wavevector decreases monotonically as the hole doping is increased (the L component remains unchanged). For overdoped single-layered cuprates, the Fermi surface consists of a

large cylindrical sheet; the volume is set by the doping of the CuO_2 plane [32, 33, 34].

YBCO is a bilayered cuprate, and so this sheet is split into bonding and anti-bonding surfaces, and further complicated by the existence of 1-D sheets from additional Cu-O layers. Nonetheless, the same principles regarding the volume change with doping apply. Using band-structure calculations of the in-plane Fermi surface by Carrington and Yelland [35], and ignoring any potential reconstruction due to the pseudogap, $q_{h/k}$ appear to be nesting vectors linking the squared-off sides of the cylinder. The length changes observed by experiment match with the expected changes due to the doping level. This is backed up further by the recent work on the single-layered Hg1201 by Tabis *et al.* [22], where the observed in-plane wavevector for Hg1201 fits the same description. This points to a strong connection between the Fermi surface and the CDW wavevector.

In YBCO, the wavevector components q_h and q_k are not identical. YBCO is an orthorhombic compound with slight differences in the \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} axes due to the existence of Cu-O chain layers, where the oxygen atoms only appear along chains running parallel to the \mathbf{b} axis. The differences between q_h and q_k still exist after correction for this underlying orthorhombicity. There is no evidence to indicate that these chain layers interact directly with these modulations [15, 17].

So far, we have not considered the third dimension, i.e. the $L = 0.5$ component. This can be included by introducing a dispersion to the Fermi surface along the \mathbf{c}^* axis. A proposal for this, as well as a review of this topic, is given in Ref. [36].

3.4.1. Fermi arcs

One long standing subject of debate in cuprates has been the observation of Fermi arcs in angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) measurements [37]. Comin *et al.* [18] observe that in Bi2201, q_h links the Fermi arc tips.

3.4.2. Quantum oscillations

As noted earlier, the existence of a potential nesting vector is not a sufficient, or indeed, necessary condition for the formation of a charge density wave. Nonetheless, the identification of a suitable nesting vector can give some indications of how the Fermi surface is expected to gap on entering the CDW state. At this point, it is appropriate to consider other measurements, in particular quantum oscillation studies.

In a quantum oscillation measurement, one applies a large magnetic field to a sample, and looks for oscillations in some measured parameter, e.g. resistivity, as de-

terminated by the cyclotron orbit of the electrons. These oscillations can then be traced back to give information about the Fermi surface involved. Our theoretical understanding of these oscillation requires something like a Fermi surface to exist. In the usual phase diagram, Fermi liquid behaviour is confined to the overdoped normal state, progressing to a non-Fermi liquid region and then to the pseudogap state. We would therefore expect to see no signal, except in the overdoped regime.

Initially, this was the case. However, as techniques for growing cleaner (meaning longer mean free paths) samples have improved [7], oscillations were clearly observed in the underdoped regime in YBCO by Doiron-Leyraud *et al.* [38]. Sheet resistance measurements by Barišić *et al.* [39] in Hg1201 and YBCO find a T^2 resistivity in this region, and this usually indicates the existence of a Fermi liquid.

In the overdoped case, a large hole Fermi surface was seen by quantum oscillation measurements [33], but on reducing the number of holes, this gives way to a small electron pocket [38], indicating that in the underdoped region, the Fermi surface has been reconstructed. This is also supported by detailed transport measurements of many different types, by multiple groups; for an initial selection see Refs. [39, 40]. The wavevectors identified by X-ray diffraction are able to chop up the Fermi surface into small electron-like pockets, as required [36]. However, both wavevectors should be present equally at all times for this to work.

3.5. Uniaxial vs biaxial?

From X-ray diffraction measurements, one can obtain the wavevectors for the two observed modulations, but it is challenging to tell if the underlying structure is single- \mathbf{q} (uniaxial), wherein each modulation exists in spatially separated domains, or double- \mathbf{q} (biaxial), where the two modulations exist in the same spatial regions at the same time. The mere presence of the Bragg peaks is not sufficient to distinguish between the two descriptions of the material.

The amplitude of the modulation associated with these two components appears to vary as a function of doping [13, 14, 16]. One may infer from this that the uniaxial state is more likely, although it does not constitute proof, as the structural distortions observed may change as a function of doping, in which case the intensities of particular Bragg peaks would also be expected to change, complicating the analysis.

If the CDW observed is uniaxial, then this is problematic for Fermi surface reconstruction described above. Other studies may therefore be able to shed some light on this question. In 2011, Wu *et al.* [41] carried out

a ^{63}Cu NMR study on underdoped YBCO. They found that in high fields, as for the quantum oscillations measurements, a splitting of the lines associated with some of the planar Cu ions was observed at fields > 15 T and below temperatures close to T_C . This could be explained by the existence of a charge density modulation in the CuO_2 planes. Ultrasound measurements by LeBoeuf *et al.* [42] also observed changes in the elastic constants in the same region of the field-temperature phase diagram. A particularly large effect is seen in the c_{66} elastic constant, indicating a biaxial lattice distortion where the two components exist in the same sample volume.

As the quantum oscillations are only seen at reasonably high fields, one may therefore argue that the CDW seen at zero field need not be the same one chopping up the Fermi surface observed in the quantum oscillations measurements, although this does not help with the reconstruction indicated by low-field transport measurement studies. Further work by Wu *et al.* [43] sees a signature from ^{17}O that would appear to be related to the X-ray diffraction signal, indicating that there is a change at high fields that is, as yet, unexplored by diffraction techniques.

4. Summary

Short-range charge density wave signatures have been observed in the pseudogap regions of multiple members of the cuprate family. These charge density waves are weakly 3D, with short in-plane and shorter out-of-plane correlation lengths.

5. Acknowledgment

I am grateful to my many collaborators in this area, and in particular Ted Forgan, Johan Chang and Stephen Hayden for interesting discussions. I would also like to thank the organisers of ECRYS-2014 for the enjoyable school at which this review was presented.

References

- [1] J. G. Bednorz and K. A. Mueller, *Z. Physik B - Condensed Matter* **64** (2) (1986) 189–193.
- [2] C. E. Gough *et al.*, *Nature* **326** (1987) 855.
- [3] M. Kastner, R. Birgeneau, G. Shirane and Y. Endoh, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **70** (1998) 897–928.
- [4] T. Shibauchi, A. Carrington and Y. Matsuda, *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **5** (2014) 113–135.
- [5] T. Timusk and B. Statt, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **62** (1999) 61–122.
- [6] J. Tranquada, *Physica B* **407** (2012) 1771–1774.
- [7] R. Liang, D. A. Bonn and W. N. Hardy, *Philosophical Magazine* **92** (2012) 2563–2581.

- [8] P. Monceau, *Advances in Physics* **61** (2012) 325–581.
- [9] M. D. Johannes and I. I. Mazin, *Phys. Rev. B* **77** (2008) 165135.
- [10] K. Rossnagel, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **23** (2011) 213001.
- [11] G. Ghiringhelli *et al.*, *Science* **337** (2012) 821–825.
- [12] A. J. Achkar *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 167001.
- [13] S. Blanco-Canosa *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 187001.
- [14] S. Blanco-Canosa *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **90** (2014) 054513.
- [15] J. Chang *et al.*, *Nature Physics* **8** (2012) 871–876.
- [16] E. Blackburn *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 137004.
- [17] M. Huecker *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **90** (2014) 054514.
- [18] R. Comin *et al.*, *Science* **343** (2014) 390.
- [19] E. H. da Silva Neto *et al.*, *Science* **343** (2014) 393–396.
- [20] M. Hashimoto *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **89** (2014) 220511.
- [21] V. Thampy *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **90** (2014) 100510.
- [22] W. Tabis *et al.*, arXiv:1404.7658 (2014).
- [23] N. B. Christensen *et al.*, arXiv:1404.3192 (2014).
- [24] T. P. Croft, C. Lester, M. S. Senn, A. Bombardi and S. M. Hayden, *Phys. Rev. B* **89** (2014) 224513.
- [25] H.-H. Wu *et al.*, *Nature Comms.* **3** (2012) 1023.
- [26] M. Hücker *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **83** (2011) 104506.
- [27] Y.-J. Kim, G. D. Gu, T. Gog, and D. Casa, *Phys. Rev. B* **77** (2008) 064520.
- [28] J. Fink *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **79** (2009) 100502.
- [29] R. Comin *et al.*, arXiv:1402.5415 (2014).
- [30] M. Le Tacon *et al.*, *Nature Physics* **10** (2013) 52–58.
- [31] E. Blackburn *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **88** (2013) 054506.
- [32] B. Vignolle *et al.*, *Nature* **455** (2008) 952–955.
- [33] M. Platié *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** (2005) 077001.
- [34] R. S. Markiewicz, S. Sahrakorpi, M. Lindroos, H. Lin, and A. Bansil, *Phys. Rev. B* **72** (2005) 054519.
- [35] A. Carrington and E. Yelland, *Phys. Rev. B* **76** (2007) 140508.
- [36] S. E. Sebastian *et al.*, *Nature* **511** (2014) 61–64.
- [37] T. Yoshida, M. Hashimoto, I. M. Vishik, Z.-X. Shen and A. Fujimori, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **81** (2012) 011006.
- [38] N. Doiron-Leyraud *et al.*, *Nature* **447** (2007) 565–568.
- [39] N. Barišić *et al.*, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **110** (2013) 12235–12240.
- [40] D. LeBoeuf *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **83** (2011) 054506.
- [41] T. Wu, H. Mayaffre, S. Kramer, M. Horvatic, C. Berthier, W. N. Hardy, R. Liang, D. A. Bonn and M.-H. Julien, *Nature* **477** (2011) 191–194.
- [42] D. LeBoeuf, S. Kramer, W. N. Hardy, R. Liang, D. A. Bonn and C. Proust, *Nature Physics* **9** (2012) 79–83.
- [43] T. Wu, H. Mayaffre, S. Krmer, M. Horvatic, C. Berthier, W. N. Hardy, R. Liang, D. A. Bonn and M.-H. Julien, arXiv:1404.1617 (2014).